

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,966	10/28/2003	Isabelle Rollat	5725.0756-01	3769	
	7590 04/10/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER			
LLP			VAKILI, ZOHREH		
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			PAPER NUMBER	
		1614			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	04/10/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/693,966		ROLLAT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	- 1-11	Art Unit			
		Zohreh Vaki	li	1614			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •		TYPIDE (MONTH)	0) OD THIDTY (20) DAVC			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event on. period will apply and will e statute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the one of the other contents on the other contents of the other contents on the other contents of the other	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ <u></u> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Qua	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		·			
· · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		sideration.	•			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requ	irement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P10-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International B	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certific	ed copies not receive	<b>20</b> .			
Attachmen			4) 🗀 latania S	(DTO 413)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	· (8)	1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	:	5) Notice of Informal F 5) Other:	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a composition, classified in class 514, subclass
   579.
- II. Claims 15-16, drawn to a method of cosmetically treating hair, classified in class 514, subclass 183.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used as a transdermal drug delivery system.

## Rejoinder Notice

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1614

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

The following specie election is required also regarding the election of either of Groups I or II, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed

Art Unit: 1614

species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, regardless of which Group Applicant elects, Applicant is required to define each of an acrylic copolymer, a specific species of cosmetic vehicle and a specific species of additional polymer (i.e. claim 10). Currently, claims 1-2, 7-9 and 12-14 are generic for Group I, and claims 15 and 16 are generic for Group II.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. Applicant is reminded that the election of the acrylic polymer should clearly set forth the monomers (i.e., (a)-(d)) that comprise the elected polymer.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1614

## Election/Restrictions Proper

MPEP §809.02(d) states "[w]here only generic claims are presented, no restriction can be required except in those applications where the generic claims recite such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search is necessary." Here, the claims recited such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search would be necessary if all of the claimed species were to be examined simultaneously.

The present claims are directed to multiple inventions. Present claim 1 for example provides a variety of possibilities for the copolymer. Further, as shown by the following classifications, a majority of the combinations encompassed by the present claims has acquired a separate status in the art. For example, if the active agent comprises a 7 membered ring containing one N it is classified in class 514 subclass 212.01 whereas if the active agent comprises a 6 membered ring containing one N it is classified in class 514 subclass 222.2. Notwithstanding that the classification of some of the active agents is co-extensive, all of the claimed compounds are patently distinct and fully capable of supporting separate patents.

The inventions above are patentably distinct. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim sets for clarity, enablement, and double

Art Unit: 1614

patenting issues. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

#### Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Vakili whose telephone number is 571-272-3099. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Mon.-Fri.

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zohreh Vakili

Patent Examiner 1614

March 23, 2007

SUP

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

HR 00/20/07